IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

FRIDAY, THE 14TH DECEMBER 2007 / 23RD AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 37126 of 2007(T)

PETITIONERS:
----

1. REEJA Y.KUNJU, B.L.I.SC STUDENT,
MICRO COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY, PANDALAM.

2. REJANI.C., B.L.I.SC STUDENT,
MICRO COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY, PANDALAM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.B.GANGESH
SMT.SMITHA CHATHANARAMBATH

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. M.G.UNIVERSITY REP. BY ITS REGISTRAR,
PRIYADARSHINI HILLS, KOTTAYAM.

2. THE VICE CHANCELLOR, M.G.UNIVERSITY,
-DO-

BY SRI.T.A.SHAJI, SC, UNIVERSITY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 37126 OF 2007 T
==========

Dated this the 14th day of December, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioners are students of B.L.I.Sc Course under the 1st respondent University. Exts. P5 and P6 are the applications made by the first petitioner seeking revaluation and Ext.P7 is an application made by the 2nd petitioner for the same purpose. It is stated that despite having made these applications, there has not been any progress in the matter and hence this writ petition has been filed.

2. I heard the standing counsel for the University also.

3. Having regard to the submissions that are made by both sides and the urgency that is pointed out, I dispose of this writ petition itself directing that revaluation pursuant to Exts. P5 and P6 applications made by the first petitioner and Ext.P7 application made by the 2nd petitioner, shall be completed and results declared as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within six weeks of receipt of a copy of this judgment, provided the

applications mentioned above are otherwise in order.

4. Petitioners have yet another complaint, that the mark sheets in respect of the examinations undertaken by them in April 2007 of 1st and 11nd semesters have not been issued so far. This certainly is a matter, which should engage the attention of the 1st respondent and necessary remedial action should be taken to redress the complaint of the petitioner.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp